## **UPDATE REPORT**

BY THE DIRECTOR OF ENVIRONMENT & NEIGHBOURHOOD SERVICES

READING BOROUGH COUNCIL ITEM NO. 11 Page: 93

PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE: 3rd April 2019

Ward: Church

Application No.: 190192/REG3

Address: 202 Hartland Road, Reading, RG2 8DR

Proposal: To form crossover and drop kerb to serve proposed off-street parking

area (on Whitley Wood Road boundary). **Applicant: Reading Borough Council** Date valid: 4th February 2019

Application target decision date: 1st April 2019 (agreed extension to 5th April

2019)

## Recommendation:

As in main report

## 1. Neighbour Consultation Responses

- 1.1 Further to section 4 (Public Consultation) of the main report, on 1st April a letter of 'observation' was received, concerned with the following:
  - Due to existing hedge, views when exiting the property will be restricted
  - Safety of pedestrians
- 1.2 The Council's Highways Development Control Officer has assessed the proposals and considers that the proposed dropped crossing would be provided with adequate visibility of vehicles as vehicles approach the carriageway.
- 1.3 The Government's transport document Manual for Streets does not stipulate a requirement for pedestrian visibility splays to be provided at any junction/access. Manual for Streets 2: Wider Applications of the Principles (MfS2) forms a companion guide to Manual for Streets (MfS2) and this latest document states the following:
  - "10.6.1 Vehicle exits at the back edge of the footway mean that emerging drivers will have to take account of people on the footway. The absence of wide visibility splays at minor accesses will encourage drivers to emerge more cautiously - similarly to how vehicles pull out when visibility along the carriageway is restricted...

10.6.2 Consideration should be given to whether this will be appropriate,

taking into account the following:

• the frequency of vehicle movements;

• the amount of pedestrian activity; and

• the width of the footway".

1.4 Taking the above into account, the vehicle and pedestrian movement would be

relatively low and the footway is 2m in width, plus no accidents have been

recorded within the vicinity of the site as a result of vehicles entering or exiting

a property via a dropped crossing. As a result the Transport DC Officer advises

that no pedestrian visibility splays would be required as part of this proposal.

1.5 Nevertheless, where the access crosses the footway it is considered there is

sufficient inter-visibility between pedestrians and emerging motorists, and

pedestrian safety is not considered to be compromised by the proposal.

1.6 Officers are content that the proposal is suitable in terms of Policy DM12.

Case Officer: Ethne Humphreys

3